

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address (**CAIMISSICNER**) OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, DC** 20241 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/622,454	11/15/2000	Louise Bailey	57.0291 US PC	5454	
7.	590 12/05/2002				
Maryam Bani Jamali			EXAMINER		
110 Schlumber			TUCKER, PHILIP C		
Sugar Land, TX	C 77478		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1712		
			DATE MAILED: 12/05/2002	12	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	672454	_	LEY ET F	
Office Action Summary			Group Art Unit	t C
	Examiner () () C1	KER	17,2	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet b	eneath the corr	espondence ad	dress
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) F	ROM THE MAIL	ing dati
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cl from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by def Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by 	a reply within the statutory minim ault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron	um of thirty (30) da n the mailing date o	ys will be considere f this communicatio	d timely. n .
Status				
$\sqrt{3}$ Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\frac{10/3}{3}$	102			
☐ This action is FINAL .				
Since this application is in condition for allowance excapacitation accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle,			e merits is clos	ed in
Disposition of Claims				
\times Claim(s) $9-12$, $15-3$	-	is/are per	nding in the appli	cation.
Of the above claim(s)		is/are wit	hdrawn from con	sideration
X Claim(s) 9-12, 15, 16, 26-28,				
V Claim(s) 17, 18, 20-25, 29				
x Claim(s) 19		is/are obj	ected to.	
Claim(s)				r election
Application Papers		requirem		
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra	wing Review, PTO-948.			
The proposed drawing correction, filed on		disapproved.		
The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob	ejected to by the Examiner.			
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	r.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received.		•		
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu			·	
☐ received in this national stage application from the	·	, ,,		
*Certified copies not received:			·	
Attachment(s)				
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	er No(s) In	terview Summa	ry, PTO-413	
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	N	otice of Informal	Patent Application	on, PTO-

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

Other____

Office Action Summary

Application/Control Number: 09/622454 Page 2

Art Unit: 1712

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 22 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 22, it is not clear what is meant by an "anionic fluid".

In claim 29, it is not clear what is meant by the term "reactive anionic".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Application/Control Number: 09/622454 Page 3

Art Unit: 1712

4. Claims 17, 21, 22 and 25 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Malchow (5807811).

Malchow teaches a water based drilling fluid which comprises a phosphonate according to the present invention and weighting agents, viscosifiers, filtration control agents and shale stabilizers (see example 3). The discovery by applicant of an inherent property of reducing cuttings accretion, and bit balling for the phosphonate additives does not distinguish over the prior art (In re Tomlinsin 150 USPQ 623) The present invention is thus anticipated by Malchow.

5. Claims 17, 18 and 20-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DE 4404219.

DE '219 teaches a water based clay composition which comprises a phosphonate according to the present invention, and may contain salts such as sodium silicate or sodium metaphosphate (see page 3, lines 16-19, and examples). The composition may be used as a drilling mud (page 2, lines 5-8). The discovery by applicant of an inherent property of reducing cuttings accretion and bit balling for the phosphonate additives does not distinguish over the prior art (In re Tomlinsin 150 USPQ 623). The present invention is thus anticipated by DE '219.

6. Claim 19 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/622454

Page 4

Art Unit: 1712

- 7. Claims 9-12, 15, 16, 26-28, 30 and 31 are allowable over the art of record.
- 8. Applicants arguments have been considered but are not deemed fully persuasive. Applicants amendments have overcome the rejection over the GB reference. Applicants arguments with respect to Malchow regarding the cuttings accretion and bit balling are not deemed persuasive. It is well established that a novel intended use does not impart patentability to an otherwise old or obvious composition (In re Pearson 181 USPQ 641, In re Zierden 162 USPQ 102). Also, the discovery by applicant of an inherent property of reducing cuttings accretion and bit balling for the phosphonate additives does not distinguish over the prior art (In re Tomlinsin 150 USPQ 623). A new rejection is presented in view of the DE '219 reference. It is noted that the formula used in claims 9, 15 and 17 is broad enough to encompass a phosphate, if R is attached by O. However, the claims are being interpreted in light of the teaching of the specification that the compounds are based on phosphonate chemistry, and not phosphate chemistry.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip Tucker whose telephone number is (703) 308-0529. The examiner's normal working hours are 7:30am-4:00pm, Monday-Friday. If necessary SPE Robert Dawson may be contacted at 703-308-2340. For inquiries of a general nature call the receptionist at 703-308-0651. The group FAX no. is 703-872-9310. The **after final** fax no. Is 703-872-9311.

PCT-2689 December 3, 2002

ART UNIT 1712